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The purpose of this paper is to examine whether compliance with the requirements for:
- the safety and health,
- the quality and
- the effectiveness and efficiency of maintenance processes with the implementation of LW at low voltage can be achieved.
The study draws upon study carried out among the Slovenian electrical utilities and international.

STUDY WAS CARRIED OUT AMONG SLOVENIAN AND FOREIGN LW EXPERTS.
The start of LW implementation on low voltage (LV) in 2011 progressed with a successful LW implementation on medium voltage (MV) – S/S cleaning in 2013, i.e. exactly 100 years after an electrician in Ohio, USA, used the LW method on MV for the first time.
Our articles, which were published at ICOLIM 2011 and ICOLIM 2014, described in detail the development of implementing and carrying out LW in Slovenia in the nuclear power plant and in industrial (private) and distribution companies (public) on LV and MV level with the emphasis on the training and the “Zero Accidents” philosophy.
In agreement with the Slovenian electrical distribution companies Elektro Gorenjska (EG), Elektro Ljubljana (EL) and Elektro Maribor (EM), an outsourcing survey was carried out on “the introduction and implementation of LW on LV in distribution companies”
The survey was conducted among technician and coordinators (EG, EL and EM), who completed the basic training for LW at LV in October 2011 and refresher courses in October 2013 and October 2015 (every two years).
The questionnaire of the introduction and implementation of LW on LV in Slovenian distribution companies contained 99 questions divided into six groups:

Part I. “Introductory basic information about respondents" (12 questions),
Part II. “Competencies for implementation LW at LV (personal, distribution)” (25 questions),
Part III. “Safety and health (OSH) of LW at LV” (12 questions),
Part IV. “Quality management in the implementation of LW at LV” (11 questions),
Part V. “Efficiency and effectiveness in the implementation of LW at LV” (14 questions),
Part VI. “Appendix” (25 questions).
## RESPONDENTS FROM UTILITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>EG</th>
<th>EL</th>
<th>EM</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technician</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinators</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SUMMARY

OF THE RESULTS REGARDING THE COMPARISON BETWEEN COORDINATORS AND WORKERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Mc</th>
<th>Mw</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Competencies for LW</td>
<td>4,14</td>
<td>3,83</td>
<td>2,631</td>
<td>0,011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSH at LW</td>
<td>4,75</td>
<td>4,66</td>
<td>0,851</td>
<td>0,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality management at LW</td>
<td>4,58</td>
<td>4,20</td>
<td>2,006</td>
<td>0,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency and effectiveness at LW</td>
<td>4,30</td>
<td>4,02</td>
<td>2,396</td>
<td>0,026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.  $M_c$ – Mean value for coordinators,  $M_w$ – mean value for workers*
This survey was carried out in 2014 amongst the LWA committee members from Croatia, Czech, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Spain and Slovenia and participants of ICOLIM 2014. The survey later also included LW specialists who are active in CIGRE.
The University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences and the Institute for research and development (I.R.R.) of C&G d.o.o. Ljubljana, Slovenia have carried out a research project in 2014 on the “Contribution of Live Working (LW) to the quality, safety, efficiency and effectiveness of maintenance procedures”.

Contribución a Trabajos con Tensión (TCT) para la calidad, seguridad, eficiencia y efectividad en los procedimientos de mantenimiento. (Spanish)
Istraživanje o doprinosu rada pod naponom na kvalitetu, sigurnost, učinkovitost i uspješnost procesa održavanja. (Croatian)
Raziskava o prispevku dela pod napetostjo h kakovosti, varnosti ter učinkovitosti in uspešnosti procesov vzdrževanja. (Slovenian)
The international questionnaire contained 83 questions divided into five groups:

Part I. “BASIC INFORMATION ON THE RESPONDENTS” (10 questions),
Part II. “QUALITY MANAGEMENT DURING LW IMPLEMENTATION” (20 questions),
Part III. “HEALTH AND SAFETY AT WORK DURING LW IMPLEMENTATION” (19 questions),
Part IV. “EFFICIENCY AND EFFECTIVENESS OF LW IMPLEMENTATION” (15 questions),
Part VI. “ADDITIONAL SECTION” (19 questions).
Subject: Contribution of Live Working (LW) to the quality, safety, efficiency and effectiveness of maintenance procedures

Dear Sirs,

The University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences and the Institute for research and development (I.R.R.) of C&G d.o.o. Ljubljana, Slovenia have carried out a research project on the “Contribution of Live Working (LW) to the quality, safety, efficiency and effectiveness of maintenance procedures”.

II. QUALITY MANAGEMENT DURING LW IMPLEMENTATION

Could you estimate, how the quality management system influences the satisfaction of consumers and the quality of electrical energy during LW implementation

Grades: 1 – Don’t agree at all; 5 – Completely agree (encircle the appropriate answer)

SECTION: Quality management system during LW implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Companies that carry out LW in our country have implemented the quality management system ISO 9001:2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Companies that carry out LW in our country have implemented different management systems (ISO 9001, ISO14001, OHSAS 18001 and/or others)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. HEALTH AND SAFETY AT WORK DURING LW IMPLEMENTATION

Could you estimate the attitude towards measures for health and safety at work during LW

Grades: 1 – Don’t agree at all; 5 – Completely agree (encircle the appropriate answer)

SECTION: Health and safety at work during LW implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Maintenance workers (linemen) on electrical installations (networks) should be trained electricians</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Basic theoretical and practical training should be mandatory for all LW workers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### IV. EFFICIENCY AND EFFECTIVENESS OF LW IMPLEMENTATION

**Could you estimate the efficiency and effectiveness of LW implementation**

**Grades: 1 – Don’t agree at all; 5 – Completely agree (encircle the appropriate answer)**

**SECTION: Efficiency and effectiveness of LW implementation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Consumers are satisfied, if uninterrupted energy supply is ensured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Preventive maintenance with LW enables reliable power supply of systems that ensure personal and general safety of people (hospitals, nuclear power plants, tunnels, traffic, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Preventive maintenance with LW increases the availability of machinery in process manufacturing 24/7 (medicine, paper, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Preventive maintenance with LW enables flexibility of work on networks or machinery, because it is carried out independently from other staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Preventive maintenance with LW enables time flexibility of maintenance work (no work during weekends, no overtime, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### V. ADDITIONAL SECTION

**Could you estimate how you see dead working and evaluate the status of linemen who carry out LW**

**Grades: 1 – Don’t agree at all; 5 – Completely agree (encircle the appropriate answer)**

**SECTION: Dead working**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Linemen carry out dead work routinely</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Linemen need additional training for dead work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A total of 171 respondents from 36 countries participated in the survey. Most of the respondents were from Slovenia (44 or 25.7%) and Croatia (27 or 15.8%). The respondents from abroad were in average represented with a sample size of 1 to 5.

The above-average response of LW experts was seen in Hungary (10), Canada (9), Germany (8) and Poland (6).
COMPARISON

Observing the four dimensions ("Quality management at LW", "Health and safety at LW", "Reliability and Availability of LW" and "Performance of LW providers").

We can see that the highest mean value of Slovenian dataset corresponds to the "Reliability and Availability of LW" ($M = 4.52$), while the lowest value corresponds to the "Performance of LW providers" ($M = 4.05$).

Given the international dataset our results showed that the highest mean value corresponds to the "Health and safety at LW" ($M = 4.61$), while the lowest value corresponds to the "Performance of LW providers" ($M = 4.17$).
COMPARISON

Slovenian dataset

- Performance of LW providers
- Reliability and Availability
- Safety
- Quality management

International dataset

- Performance of LW providers
- Reliability and Availability
- Safety
- Quality management
It is evident from the survey results that safety and health was highlighted as the most important factors/dimensions from the perspective of technician and instructors.
The results of the Slovenian study suggested that health and safety aspects of LW are vital for achieving efficiency and effectiveness of LW.
Similarly, the results of the international survey showed that health and safety aspects of LW are considered as very important in achieving expected outcomes of LW.
However, the results indicated that Slovenian experts perceived the elements of LW in a similar way as foreign experts.
The results of the regression analysis have confirmed the premise that quality management as well as health and safety at work can be beneficial in terms of reliability, flexibility and availability of electric installations and performance of LW workers.
Results from both empirical studies emphasise the importance for LW providers to recognize safety aspects, the importance of providing periodical training in the field of LW as well as the need to be aligned with the requirements concerning the safety equipment.

Furthermore, it should be noted that standardized management systems, such as ISO 9001 and OHSAS 18001, can provide systematic approach towards integrating the quality management and safety aspects into the LW procedures and processes.
It is of great importance that research is continued as it should give answers to the following questions - does carrying out of maintenance of electrical installations by the live working method:
- have an impact on meeting the requirements of customers for electricity and higher level of quality of electricity?
- reduce the number of accidents at work with electricity?
- contribute to better effectiveness and efficiency when carrying out preventive maintenance of electrical installations?
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